KP Research Bank Application Review Criteria

The KPRB Pre-Application and Application review criteria is outlined below, and is based on the NIH review criteria. The KPRB strives for transparency in the review process. For a more detailed crosswalk outlining which NIH review criteria <u>will</u> and will not be used to assess KPRB Applications, please email ResearchBankAccess@kp.org.

<u>and will not</u> be used to assess KPRB Applications, please email ResearchBankAccess@kp.org.			
Review Criteria. Reviewers will consider the <u>four review criteria below</u> (Significance, Investigators, Approach, ELSI) and <u>circle one of three designations</u> (Meets Criteria, Does not meet criteria, Criteria not applicable) to score the proposal. Additional notes (if needed) will be provided below the score. All Applications will undergo non-scored review by the			
		Executive Director to assess organizational risks to Kaiser Permanente as a whole.	
		Review Criteria #1: Significance.	Meets Criteria
If the aims of the project are achieved, will scientific knowledge,			
technical capability, and/or clinical practice be informed or	Does not meet criteria		
improved?	Does not meet criteria		
improved:			
	Criteria not applicable		
Will successful completion of the aims inform or improve the			
concepts, methods, technologies, treatments, services, or	Notes (if needed):		
preventative interventions that drive this field?			
Review Criteria #2: Investigator(s).	Meets Criteria		
Assessed at the Pre-Application stage	Does not meet criteria		
Is the research team and Kaiser Permanente collaborator well			
suited to the project?	Criteria not applicable		
	Notes (if needed):		
Review Criteria #3: Approach.	Meets Criteria		
Are the overall strategy, methodology, and analyses well-			
reasoned and appropriate to accomplish the specific aims of the	Does not meet criteria		
project?	Does not meet chiena		
Accordent the Due Application and Application stars	Criteria not applicable		
Assessed at the Pre-Application and Application stage			
	Notes (if needed):		
Have appropriate samples been requested?			
Are the samples available?			
 Has the appropriate data been requested? 			
 Is the data available? 			
If the project is in the early stages of development, will the			
strategy establish feasibility and will particularly risky aspects be			
managed?			
Has the applicant committed to returning generated data back			
to the KPRB?			
Review Criteria #4: Ethical, Legal and Social Implications (ELSI).	Meets Criteria		
Has the applicant effectively outlined the potential ethical, legal,			
and/or social implications of the proposed study?	Does not meet criteria		
Has the applicant proposed sufficient strategies for mitigating	Criteria not applicable		
those potential harms?			
	Notos (if needed):		
Organizational Diele Kaisar Darman antala hiskaat arianita is the ana	<u>Notes (if needed):</u>		
Organizational Risk. <i>Kaiser Permanente's highest priority is the pro</i>			
KPRB, or a designated proxy, will review all proposals to identify po			
members, or reputational risks to the Kaiser Permanente organization as a whole.			